

● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

10/732,969

Application: 10/732969 Examiner: Szekely GAU: 1914  
 From: FT Location: IDC FMF FDC Date: 12-7-05

Tracking #: 10/732969 Week Date: 6-00-05  
EPM

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: Please Verify continuing data. D, b sheet & Spec don't agree

THANK YOU

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: Typo in examiner's amendment has now been corrected. In the future, why don't you specify the nature of the disagreement in your message? Thank you.

INITIALS: D60

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04

**EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Douglas Hura on 6/7/05.

The application has been amended as follows: The paragraph after "Related Application" has been replaced by the following paragraph:

"This application is a continuation-in-part of US Patent Application Serial No. 09/978,741, filed 10/16/2001, (now abandoned), which is a continuation-in-part of US 31 Patent Application Serial No. 09/540,389, filed 3/3/2000, now U.S. Patent 6,306,927, which claims the benefit of US Provisional Application 60/128,734, filed 4/12/1999."

Additionally, in claims 5 and 6, line 1, the word "one" has been replaced by the number -  
--1--.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The closest prior art, Blackwell et al. 6,306,927, does not disclose a particle size span of less than 1.5, as a matter of fact it does not disclose a particle size span at all.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

DGO  
12-3005